

Funding Allocation 2017-18: £52,940

<u>Aim</u>

To raise the attainment of all pupils who are eligible for Pupil Premium by accelerating progress so that it is in line with or better than national expectations.

Impact Analysis of Pupil Premium Interventions

| | Initiative | Cost | Objective | Impact |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------|--|--|
| | | | · · | See KS1 and KS2 outcomes. |
| | Small Group Tuition | £12,055 | Increase the % of eligible pupils achieving age related expectations in all year groups. | Intervention evaluation reports were generated using Edukey software to measure the impact of interventions throughout the course of the |
| | | | | year. These were used to inform Pupil Progress Meetings and future intervention planning. |
| | Early Phonics | £1,446 | Increase the % of eligible | See Year 1 and year 2 Phonics |
| S | Intervention | | pupils achieving age related | Screening Check Outcomes. |
| ive | | | expectations in all year | |
| ati | TowardadIAC | C2 000 | groups. | LAC numils received 1.1 |
| iti | Targeted LAC | £3,000. | Improve academic outcomes for eligible pupils. | LAC pupils received 1:1 targeted support to work on |
| ln: | Support. | | Tor eligible pupils. | PEP/SEN targets within |
| ng | | | Support LAC pupils to achieve | school. |
| rni | | | SMART targets identified | |
| eai | | | within PEPs | |
| Teaching and Learning Initiatives | Class Based | £11,082 | Increase opportunities for | Impact was variable and due |
| nd | Teaching | | eligible pupils to receive | to redeployment of support |
| bg B | Assistant | | effective feedback within the | staff mid-year to respond to |
| in§ | | | classroom to increase % of pupils achieving age related | the changing needs of vulnerable pupils. This |
| ch | | | expectations in KS2. | strategy did not have the |
| ea | | | expectations in RS2. | expected impact across KS2. |
| \vdash | Edukey- | £415 | To improve the monitoring | Whole school, cohort, small |
| | Provision | | and impact of interventions | group and individual pupil |
| | Mapping and | | for eligible pupils across | reports were generated using |
| | Learning Plan | | school. | Edukey software to evaluate |
| | Software. | | To provide detailed costing | impact of interventions |
| | | | reports and provision | throughout the course of the |
| | | | summaries to Local Authority for LAC pupils and other | year. These were used to inform Pupil Progress |
| | | | eligible pupils. To improve the | Meetings and future |
| | | | quality of coordinated SEN | intervention planning. |
| | | | Support Plans for eligible | |
| | | | pupils. | |



| | | | pact statement 2 | .01/ 10 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---|--|
| | Parent Support Advisor | £15,300 | Provide support for the families of eligible pupils. Improve pupil's attitudes towards self and school. Improve academic outcomes for eligible | Teachers were able to evaluate impact of interventions using the software half termly. PSA has supported 62% of eligible pupils and families through a variety of interventions and support programmes throughout the year. |
| Well Being Initiatives. | Attendance Officer | £1,000 | pupils Respond to attendance data which indicates that Pupil Premium attendance is currently lower than any other vulnerable group within school. | Average attendance for eligible pupils in 2017-18 was 94% which slightly below the previous year's outcome of 94.4%, and is below National expectation. Further attendance initiatives are being developed to close the gap in 2018-19. |
| | Nurture Group | £1,536 | A reduction in Behaviour Incidents for eligible pupils evidenced in CPOMs reports. A reduction in fixed term and internal exclusions for eligible pupils. Eligible pupils make at least expected progress. The % of eligible pupils achieving ARE will increase. An improvement in social communication and interaction between eligible pupils, particularly those with SEN. | Nurture group has led to a reduction in behaviour incidents recorded in CPOMs for eligible pupils during the identified flash point within the school day. This intervention has been positive in improving social and communication interactions between eligible pupils. However, school are yet to see an impact on academic outcomes at this point for all pupils accessing the group. (See Intervention Evaluation Report) |
| Social, Emotional and Well Bein | Breakfast Club Provision | £7,605 | Provide a nourishing breakfast and activities prior to the school day. Attendance for eligible pupils to be meeting at least the National expectation. | 62% of eligible pupils accessed Breakfast Club provision at some point throughout the academic year. This is a significant increase from 18% in 2016- 2017. This has resulted in improved punctuality for some eligible pupils, however, as the above attendance |



| | • | | • | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| | Visit Subsidy | 2 x £10 per eligible pupil per year = £740 | • | A reduction in eligible pupils arriving late to school. Raise eligible pupil engagement and aspirations | figure for Pupil Premium pupils suggests, school need to promote consistency in eligible pupils attending the Breakfast Club to see an impact on attendance in the outcomes future. All eligible pupils were able to access the enhanced curriculum. |
| Enhanced Curriculum | | Approx. £177 per pupil. 2 x 117 =£1593 | • | Raise self-confidence and self-esteem of eligible pupils. Improve academic outcomes for eligible pupils. | |
| Enhanced | Music Lessons | £120 | • | Allow vulnerable, eligible pupils to access the wider curriculum through weekly Music lessons. | All eligible pupils were given the opportunity to access the enhanced curriculum. |
| Engaging Parents Initiatives | 2Simple Build a Profile- Parent Share App. Purplemash Subscription | Annual Subscription to Early Years Plus = £400 Annual Subscription to PurpleMash = £600 | • | Increased rates of parental involvement in EYFSP Accelerated attainment and progress towards ELGs due to having a wider range of evidence to support eligible pupil's EYFSP. | 71% of parents of eligible pupils contributed to their child's learning journey. See FS2 outcomes. |
| Engagin | Parent Workshops- Phonics and Mathematics. | Time only. | • | Increased rates of parental involvement in supporting eligible pupils. | 2 x Phonics Workshops were held for KS1. SATs revision Workshops were held for KS2. |



Attainment

Foundation Stage

Figures based on 7 pupils eligible for Pupil Premium out of a cohort of 20 pupils, of which 1 pupil has SEN.

Figures show the percentage of eligible pupils who achieved at least the expected level of development in aspects of the EYFS.

Good level of development (GLD) indicates percentage of pupils who achieved at least the expected level of development in all Prime Areas of learning, as well as in the Specific Areas of Literacy and Maths.

| | Cohort | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Pupil Premium (Non SEN) |
|---------|--------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| GLD | 75% | 71% | 76% | 86%个 |
| Reading | 80% | 71% | 85% | 86%个 |
| Writing | 80% | 71% | 85% | 86%个 |
| Numbers | 85% | 86%个 | 92% | 100%个 |

Year 1 Phonics Screening Check

Figures based on 3 pupils eligible for Pupil Premium out of a cohort of 14 pupils, of which 1 pupil has SEN.

| | Cohort | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Pupil Premium (Non SEN) |
|-----------------------|--------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Achieved Threshold | 64% | 33% | 73% | 50% |

Year 2 Phonics Screening Check

Figures based on 3 pupils eligible for Pupil Premium out of a group of 24 pupils who did not achieve the Y ear 1 Phonics Screening Threshold in 2016-17, of which 2 pupils have SEN.

| | Cohort | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Pupil Premium (Non SEN) |
|-----------------------|--------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Achieved Threshold | 90% | 66% | 100% | 100%个 |



KS1 Outcomes

Figures based on 6 pupils eligible for Pupil Premium out of a cohort of 24 pupils. Figures show the percentage of eligible pupils who achieved at least expected level of attainment in Year 2.

| | Cohort | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Pupil Premium (Non SEN) |
|---------|--------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Reading | 71% | 50% | 78% | 75%个 |
| Writing | 67% | 50% | 72% | 75%个 |
| Maths | 67% | 50% | 78% | 75%个 |

KS2 Outcomes

Figures based on 2 pupils eligible for Pupil Premium out of a cohort of 17 pupils. Figures show the percentage of eligible pupils who achieved at least expected level of attainment in Year 6.

| | Cohort | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Pupil Premium (Non SEN) |
|----------|--------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| GPS | 76% | 50% | 80% | 100%个 |
| Reading | 76% | 100%个 | 73% | 100%个 |
| Writing | 82% | 50% | 87% | 100%个 |
| Maths | 76% | 50% | 80% | 0% |
| Combined | 53% | 0% | 60% | 0% |